the Act by the Arms (Amendment) Act. 1983 (25 of 1983). Powers tinder these Sections have also been delegated to States|Union Territories.

(iv) So far as acquisiiion|possession| carrying of knives and daggers is concerned, the State GovernmentsjUnion Territories have already been delegated powers to impose restrictions under Section 4 of the Arms Act, 1959 as and when the situation requires.

(v) The State GovernmentsjUnion Territories were advised to carry out investigationjprosectition of theft|loss of arms and ammunition from licensees and to follow up (he cases vigorously.

Reported violation of Wildlife Act by Assam

*410. SHRI NIRMAL CHATTERJEE:

SHRIMATI KANAK MUKHERJEE;

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to 'he news item which appeared in the'Times of India' of 29th June, 1986 under the caption "Assam violating wildlife Act";

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) what action Government propose to take in the matter?

THE PRIME MINISTER (SHRI RAJIV GANDHI); (a) Yes. Sir.

(b) and (c) A statement is attached.

Statement

According to Section 12 of the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 as amended in 1982 (23 of 1982), for scientific research or management of an area the Chief Wildlife Warden of the State may issue a permit for capture of any wild animal specified in Schedule I of the Act, with the previous permission of the Central Government. The Indian elephant is in Schedule I of the Act and the State Government of Assam had applied to the Central Government for permission to capture elephants. The matter ws, examined by the Indian Board for Wildlife and it was decided that the State Government be given permission to capture a hundred elephants in the year 1985-86, subject to certain conditions. The most important amongst these were that a detailed plan of capture would be drawn up in advance and sent to the Central Government whose nominee would also be associated with the capture operations; that the existing habitats of the elephants would be effectively protected; the captured elephants would he used by the Government and not sold off and that private parties would not be involved in the capture, as otherwise the elephants that would be captured may well be those which are young and amenable for training purposes and not those which are primarily responsible for damage to life and property.

This was conveyed to the State Government of Assam but no response was received and no permission was therefore given. However, report has been received that the State Government of Assam has permitted private parties to capture elephants by the mela shikar or noose capture method, resulting in the capture of 90 wild elephants and that the majority of these animals rire being allowed to be retained by the private parties.

The Prime Minister wrote to the Chief Minister of Assam in April, 1986, pointing out the violation of law and of the resolution of the Indian Board of Wildlife. As yet no reply has been received to this letter.

Prices of Garlic

*411. CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK: Will the Minister of FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES be pleased to state:"

(a) whether it is a fact that recently the prices of Garlic have risen very high in Delhi;

(b) if so. what is the present ruling prices of Garlic in Delhi and in other Metropolitan cities of India; and

(c) what steps Government propose to take to control the prices of Garlic?

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND MINISTER OF FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (SHRI H. K. L. BHAGAT); (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The prevailing wholesale prices cf garlic (fair average quality) range between Rs. 1650 and Rs. 1700 per quintal in Delhi, Rs. 2100 and Rs. 2250 per quintal in Bombay and Rs. 2200 and Rs. 2400 per quintal in Madras.

(c) The next crop, which is expected to be larger, may bring about reduction in the prices of garlic. As a short termi measure of the possibility of importing some quantity of -garlic is being examined.

Shifting of defence establishments from Aurangabad and Pime

'412. SHRI DHARAM CHANDER PRASHANT;
SHRI SURESH KALMADI;

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state.

(a) whether it is a fact that the Centre have decided to shift major defence establishments in Aurangabad and Pune to Bangalore;

(b) if so, what are the reasons therefor; and

(c) whether the employees of these establishments have protested against this shifting and if so, what action has been taken in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT (SHRI ARUN SINGH); (a) It has been decided that the following establishments would be shifted to Bangalore;

(1) ASC Records (MT), Aurangabad.

(2) Depot MT Wing, ASC. Aurangabad.

(3) Pay and Accounts Office (OR), ASC MT, Pune.

(b) These officers are required to inter act frequently and to support the activities of ASC Centre South at Bangalore and the ASC Centre North at Gaya. Hence, the location of these offices at Auranga bad and at Pune is not conducive to administrative efficiency and good coordi nation between them and the ASC Cen tres.

(c) A representation from All India Defence Clerks' Association. Branch Re cords ASC(MT), Aurangabad was re-reived. ;The points raised in the repre sentation were sympathetically considered. However, it was felt that no change in the decision to shift the offices was necessary.

Pay Commission's recommendations regarding granting of Maternity Leave

*413. SHRIMATI JAYANTHI NATARAJAN; Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state;

(a) whether it is a fact that in Chapter 26 of the Report of the Fourth Pay Com mission it has been recommended that in consonance with the National Objective of a small family, maternity leave to the women employees of the Central Govt, should not be granted beyond the second child; and

(b) if so, whether the recommendation is proposed to be applied to maternity leave arising out of abortion including induced abortion?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRIES OF PERSONNEL. PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI P. CHIDAMBARAM); Ca) Yes, Sir.

(b) The recommendations of the 4th Pay Commission are still under consideration of the government and no decision has been taken.